A. Entity responses

As mandated in Section 64 of the *Auditor-General Act 2009*, the Queensland Audit Office gave a copy of this report with an invitation to comment to:

- the Premier and Minister for the Olympics
- chief executive officers of the 50 audited entities
- respective ministers for the 50 audited entities.

This appendix contains the responses we received.

Comments received from Director-General, Department of Energy and Public Works

	Queensland Government
	Department of Energy and Public Works
Our Ref: MN07654-2021 Your Ref: 9196P	
03 NOV 2021	
Mr Brendan Worrall	
Auditor-General Queensland Audit Office	
PO Box 15396	
CITY EAST QLD 4002	
Dear Mr Worratt	
Thank you for your email of 21 Octobe 2021 status of Auditor-General recom	er 2021 providing a draft copy of your report to Parliament,
I confirm the information included on t Energy and Public Works (DEPW) is u fully implemented or no longer applica	the status of recommendations for the Department of up to date and accurate. Our recommendations are either able.
	rtunity to review the report and look forward to the ongoing nsure we deliver better services to Queenslanders.
I hope this information answers your e	enquiry. If you need any more information or help with this
matter, on	can be contacted
Yours sincerely	
Paul Martyn	
Director-General	
	1 William Street
	Brisbane Queensland GPO Box 2457 Brisbane
	Queensland 4001 Australia Telephone +617 3008 2934
	Website www.epw.qld.gov.au

Comments received from Acting Director-General, Department of Education



Comments received from Director-General, Department of Health

	Queensland Government	
Enquiries to:	Queensland Healt	h
Telephone: Our ref:		
Mr Brendan Worrall Auditor-General Queensland Audit C PO Box 15396 CITY EAST QLD Email: <u>qao@qao.ql</u> e	Office 4002	
Dear Mr Worrall		
	r email dated 21 October 2021, regarding the Queensland Audit Of rliament 2021 status of Auditor-General recommendations.	fice
	rovides an update on entities' self-assessed progress in implement recommendations between 2015-16 and 2017-18.	ting
implemented by the within QAO perform	note the high percentage of recommendations self-assessed as f e Department of the Health (the Department). Recommendations inclu- nance audit reports are monitored each quarter by our Internal Audit U orted to the Department's Audit and Risk Committee.	ded
published in Februa	es specific insights in relation to the High Value Medical Equipment rep ary 2017. Our self-assessment response highlighted the recommendati allocated to the Department were approved for closure by the th 2018.	ons
report including:	was performed in this area in the months following the publication of y nent of the Medical Equipment Renewal Project;	our
 collaboration Renewal Projet 	with Hospital and Health Services through the Medical Equipm ect Steering Committee;	
 2019; and a full review o policies, proc 	n and implementation of the Strategic Asset Management Frameword of the Health Technology Equipment Replacement program – including sesses, models, templates and governance sponsored by the Med enewal Project Steering Committee.	j its
 report. Work continu the developm 	nent and implementation of a revised Sustaining Capital Func which includes revised Health Technology Equipment Replacem	ling
Level 37 1 William St Brisbane GPO Box 48 Brisbane Queensland 4000 Australia	Website health qld gov au Email <u>DG-Correspondence@health old gov au</u> ABN 66 329 169 412	

- development of a single point of entry system for high value (>\$900,000) medical equipment – this will be implemented in 2022;
- ongoing engagement with the Department's Strategic Procurement Team to develop a Statewide Health Technology procurement model;
- the development of a revised 5-year strategic plan for medical equipment replacement – which will go live in 2022; and
- improving processes to support Hospital and Health Service disposal of replaced equipment.

Your report also highlights some inconsistencies between self-assessment responses received from the Department and Hospital and Health Services and provides commentary regarding collaboration. While I appreciate the advice, the self-assessment approach did not take account of the significant passage of time between the initial recommendations (February 2017), performance of the self-assessment (April 2021) and the current report (November 2021). As such the transition of corporate knowledge through this period may have influenced the insights highlighted on page 17.

I also note that the time provided to complete the self-assessment did not allow for the size and complexity of the Health system. Additional time would allow for greater consultation and increased likelihood of a consistent outcome. I would appreciate your consideration of this when future reports to Parliament of this nature are undertaken by QAO.

I do, however, believe the self-assessment process is a valuable initiative and the Department looks forward to continuing to work with the QAO on these engagements.

Should you require further information, the

Yours sincerely

Dr John Wakefield PSM Director-General 12/11/2021

2