### A. Entity responses

As mandated in Section 64 of the *Auditor-General Act 2009*, the Queensland Audit Office gave a copy of this report with a request for comments to:

- Director-General, Department of the Premier and Cabinet
- Acting Under Treasurer, Queensland Treasury
- Public Sector Commissioner, Public Sector Commission
- Director-General, Department of Energy and Public Works
- Director-General, Department of Housing
- Acting Director-General, Department of Regional Development, Manufacturing and Water
- Director-General, Department of Resources
- Director-General, Department of Treaty, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Partnerships, Communities and the Arts.

We also provided a copy of the report to those listed below and gave them the option of providing a response:

- · Premier and Minister for the Olympics and Paralympic Games
- Treasurer and Minister for Trade and Investment
- Minister for Energy, Renewables and Hydrogen and Minister for Public Works and Procurement
- Minister for Housing
- Minister for Regional Development and Manufacturing and Minister for Water
- Minister for Resources
- Minister for Treaty, Minister for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Partnerships, Minister for Communities and Minister for the Arts
- accountable officers of other core departments.

This appendix contains the detailed responses we received.

The heads of these entities are responsible for the accuracy, fairness, and balance of their comments.

### Comments received from Director-General, Department of the Premier and Cabinet



### Comments received from Public Sector Commissioner, Public Sector Commission



#### Responses to recommendations

#### Queensland Audit Office Better public services **Public Sector Commission** Implementing machinery of government changes Response to recommendations provided by David Mackie, Commissioner, Public Sector Commission on 13 June 2023 Timeframe for Recommendation Agree/ Additional comments implementation (Quarter and financial year) Disagree **REC 1 Improve decision-making** Agree October 2024 The Public Sector Commission (PSC) will processes for the distribution of collect data from agencies resources when implementing on machinery of government changes corporate structures . We recommend that, before the next and staffing Queensland general election, the Public Sector Commission, in conjunction with departments senior corporate . staff and with oversight from the Public Sector Governance Council: shared services revisit the corporate services thresholds developed in 2020 (referred to in the arrangements and corporate principles outlined in the Public Sector Commission's A framework to support partnerships preparations and implementation of PSC will utilise this data to machinery of government (MoG) changes, to guide the allocation of corporate update corporate services thresholds in anticipation of services employees depending on the complexity of services and size of a the 2024 Queensland General Election. department) to ensure they remain appropriate update and share information on corporate structures, staffing, experience of senior corporate staff, and shared service arrangements / corporate partnerships. Use this to identify and assist any departments that may face challenges with machinery of government changes

1

Audit Office Better public services			
Recommendation	Agree/ Disagree	Timeframe for implementation (Quarter and financial year)	Additional comments
Arr C 2 Consistently apply principles when mplementing machinery of government shanges When future machinery of government changes are being implemented, we recommend the Public Sector Commission and departments consistently apply the orinciples in the Public Sector Commission's A framework to support preparations and implementation of machinery of government (MoG) changes and have reference to the sorporate services thresholds in assessing the allocation of employees between departments. This should ensure each department has a minimum viable corporate services function, and should overcome any ongoing challenges from past changes. This should be overseen by the Public Sector Governance Council, consistent with its responsibilities under the Public Sector Act 2022.	Agree	December 2024	PSC's Machinery of Government framework and implementation guidelines were most recently applied in response to the machinery of government changes announced on 18 May 2023. The framework and accompanying principles will also be applied for any machinery of government changes announced following Queensland's state election on 26 October 2024. As set out in the PSC document Ensuring the efficient, effective and equitable implementation of machinery of government changes, machinery of government changes are guided by a number of principles including that the "reallocation of corporate and executive functions for new or impacted agencies will be based on the agency circumstances at the date of the machinery of government change, informed by evidence and data provided as opposed to historical decisions".
			Governance Council utilises the principles set out in these guidelines, along with corporate services thresholds, to ensure that each department has a minimum viable corporate services function.
REC 3 Establish a process for a workforce or budget review when issues are identified f information becomes available that indicates employee or budget decisions made following a machinery of government change have had adverse unintended consequences, we recommend a process be established for a workforce or budget review by the Public Sector Commission or Queensland Treasury. This pould be part of the existing functions of the Public Sector Governance Council under the	Agree	October 2024	PSC will work with Queensland Treasury to develop and document a workforce or budget review process. This will include identifying the most appropriate (policy or legislative) head of power for these reviews.

Public Sector Act 2022 - to request public         sector reviews. This process should be         documented in existing frameworks and         guidelines published by the Public Sector         Commission and Queensland Treasury.         REC 4 Improve the documentation of of government changes.         Of government changes.         We recomment thate Public Sector         Commission develop a suite of templates for departments to use when implementing machinery of government reporting, and lessons learned. The Public Sector         Commission's A framework to support         preparations and implementation of rachinery of government (MaG) changes should be         expanded to include worked examples and case studies that incorporate these templates.         This should also help departments:         • improve their documentation of the project, assesse lessons learned in order to inform management and central agencies of what went well and of areas requiring further implementation of machinery of government changes.         • as part of the finalisation of the project, assesse iscense learned in order to inform management and central agencies of what went well and of areas requiring further implement change.         This will assist in the transfer of knowledge and experience in preparation for a future machinery of government changes.	Better public services Recommendation	Agree/ Disagree	Timeframe for implementation (Quarter and financial year)	Additional comments
processes when implementing machineryupdating of machinery of government changesWe recommend that the Public Sectorguidelines, PSC will provideCommission develop a suite of templates forguidance as to the types of templates for use during machinery of government changes, including for project plans, terms of reference for project groups, risk registers, management reporting, and lessons learned. The Public Sectorchanges and consider developing or collecting better practice templates to share with agencies, as needed.of government (MoG) changes should be expanded to include worked examples and case studies that incorporate these templates.PSC has conducted lessons learned processes following recent machinery of government changes and 	sector reviews. This process should be documented in existing frameworks and guidelines published by the Public Sector			
3	<ul> <li>processes when implementing machinery of government changes</li> <li>We recommend that the Public Sector</li> <li>Commission develop a suite of templates for departments to use when implementing machinery of government changes, including for project plans, terms of reference for project groups, risk registers, management reporting, and lessons learned. The Public Sector</li> <li>Commission's A framework to support preparations and implementation of machinery of government (MoG) changes should be expanded to include worked examples and case studies that incorporate these templates. This should also help departments to focus on areas that need more attention after a change, including culture and records.</li> <li>We recommend departments:</li> <li>improve their documentation of key processes and actions performed as part of the finalisation of the project, assess leasons learned in order to inform management and central agencies of what went well and of areas requiring further improvement.</li> <li>This will assist in the transfer of knowledge and experience in preparation for a future</li> </ul>	Agree	October 2024	updating of machinery of government frameworks and guidelines, PSC will provide guidaces as to the types of templates for use during machinery of government changes and consider developing or collecting better practice templates to share with agencies, as needed. PSC has conducted lessons learned processes following recent machinery of government changes and uses this information to update and improve frameworks and guidelines, templates, and governance and support mechanisms. These evaluative processes will continue to be undertaken for future machinery of government changes.

### Comments received from Acting Under Treasurer, Queensland Treasury



### Comments received from Director-General, Department of Energy and Public Works



## Comments received from Director-General, Department of Housing



### Comments received from Acting Director-General, Department of Regional Development, Manufacturing and Water

Our ref: CTS 09541/23	Queensland
Your ref: PRJ03869	Government
1 3 . JUN 2023	Department of Regional Development,
	Manufacturing and Water
Mr Brendan Worrall Auditor-General	
Queensland Audit Office	
53 Albert Street BRISBANE QLD 4000	
Email: qao@qao.qld.gov.au	
Dear Mr Worrall	
Thank you for your email of 25 May 2023 regardin machinery of government changes'.	ng your report to Parliament on 'Implementing
The Department of Regional Development, N appreciates the productive engagement that occ Office during the completion of the audit. As an o formal comments to provide.	curred with officers of the Queensland Audit
If you require any further information, please cont	
will be pleased to assist.	who
Yours sincerely	
616	
Linda Dobe	
Acting Director-General	
	1 William Street Brisbane QLD 4000
	GPO Box 2247 Brisbane
	Queensland 4001 Australia <b>Telephone</b> 13 QGOV (13 74 68)
	Website www.rdmw.qld.gov.au ABN 51 242 471 577

Comments received from Director-General, Department of Treaty, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Partnerships, Communities and the Arts

	Queensland
	Government
Your reference: PRJ03869 Our reference: MN02333-2023	Office of the Director-General
12 JUN 2023	Department of
Mr Brendan Worrall Auditor-General Queensland Audit Office	Treaty, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Partnerships, Communities and the Arts
53 Albert Street BRISBANE QLD 4000 gao@gao.qld.gov.au	
Dear Mr. Worrall Brandom	
	garding the draft <i>Implementing machinery of</i> d seeking commentary from my department.
I take this opportunity to acknowledge the w thank you for providing me with a copy of the	ork undertaken by the Queensland Audit Office, and e draft Report.
(MoG) changes which have established my	seful insights in the 2023 Machinery-of-Government new department, the Department of Treaty, ships, Communities and the Arts (DTATSIPCA).
My department supports and welcomes the implementation of future MoG changes.	recommendations in the planning and
My department will carefully consider the red will work collaboratively with the Public Sect Cabinet, and Queensland Treasury to suppo leadership for.	commendations which apply to all departments, and or Commission, the Department of the Premier and ort any recommendations which they have
	the Report as it relates to recordkeeping from the This feedback is provided to ensure clarity of the
If you require any further information or assis	stance in relation to this matter, please contact
I trust this information is of assistance.	
Yours sincerely	
0	
C. O'Connor Director-General	
Enc. (1)	1 William Street Brisbane Queensland 4000 GPO Box 806 Brisbane Queensland 4001 Australia

Islander Partnerships, Communities and the Arts discussed the feedback noted in Attachment 1 and agreed on changes, as warranted, in the report. As such, Attachment 1 (referred to in the letter above) has not been included as part of the formal response.

# Comments received from Director-General, Department of Child Safety, Seniors and Disability Services

Our reference: CSSDS 02436-2023	Office of the Director-General
	Department of Child Safety, Seniors and Disability Servic
Mr Brendan Worrall Auditor-General Queensland Audit Office <u>qao@qao.qld.gov.au</u>	
Dear Auditor-General	
Thank you for your email concerning your proposed report to machinery of government changes. I appreciate the opportune behalf of the Department of Child Safety, Seniors and Disabile	ity to provide a response on
While I support the report generally, Recommendation 6 whic management will likely have a significant impact for the depar bring to your attention.	
The core business undertakings of the department, including inherently generate significant records holdings and the majo term retention requirements. Such records include child prote decisions regarding each child in care and historical Disability	rity of these records have long action files documenting
Moving forward, the department is committed to the digital re- embracing digital records management, however, to plan to s- holdings of this department is an extraordinarily resource inter- attributable to only the Child Safety portfolio exceed 108,000 department's records document business decisions across the task of digitising these paper records would require a substar- department's resourcing away from critical front line service of	systematically digitise the paper nsive task. The records boxes of records. While the e span of the last century, the ntial diversion of the
As such, implementation of this recommendation would impo impost on this agency and would not be practical to implement	
If you require any further information or assistance in relation	to this matter, please contact
Thank you for providing me with the opportunity to review the	proposed report.
Yours sincerely	
Deidre Mulkerin Director-General	
Director-General	

## Comments received from Director-General, Queensland Health

Queensland Government
Enquiries to: Queensland Health
Telephone: Our ref: C-ECTF-23/6077
Mr Brendan Worrall Auditor-General
Queensland Audit Office
PO Box 15396 CITY EAST QLD 4002
Email: <u>QAO.Mail@gao.gld.gov.au</u>
Dear Mr Worrall
Thank you for your email dated 25 May 2023, providing an opportunity to provide feedback on the proposed Report to Parliament – <i>Implementing machinery of government changes</i> .
I agree that the regularity of Machinery of Government (MoG) impacts on the ability of departments to focus on improving the efficiency and effectiveness of their service delivery. Whilst it has been many years since the Department of Health has been impacted by a significant MoG change, several of the recommendations in the above report may have an impact on my department should we be subject to a future MoG change.
I offer specific feedback on selected recommendations in the attachment.
Should you require further information, the Department of Health's contact is
Yours sincerely
Sa Aumond
Shaun Drummond
Director-General 19 / 06 / 2023
Level 37 Website health.gld.gov.au 1 William St.Brisbane Email DG Correspondence@chealth.gld.gov.au GPO Box 48 Brisbane ABN 66 329 169 412

#### Responses to recommendations

#### Appendix 1

QAO Recommendation	Agree / Disagree	Department of Health Advice
Improve decision-making processes for the distribution of resources when implementing machinery of government changes (Public Sector Commission and all departments) Recommendation #1 We recommend that, before the next Queensland general election, the Public Sector Commission, in conjunction with departments and with oversight from the Public Sector Governance Council: • revisit the corporate services thresholds developed in 2020 (referred to in the principles outlined in the Public Sector Commission's <i>A framework to support preparations and implementation of machinery of government (MoG) changes</i> , to guide the allocation of corporate services employees depending on the complexity of services and size of a department) to ensure they remain appropriate • update and share information on corporate structures, staffing, experience of senior corporate staff, and shared service arrangements / corporate partnerships. Use this to identify and assist any departments that may face challenges with machinery of government changes.	Agree	The Department of Health (DoH) will actively contribute to the Public Sector Commission led process on corporate services thresholds.
Consistently apply principles when implementing machinery of government changes (Public Sector Commission and all departments) Recommendation #2 When future machinery of	Agree	When DoH is subject to a future machinery of government change, the relevant guidelines applicable a that time will be considered and relevant principles consistently applied.

Agree	Should DoH be subject to a future MoG change, DoH will utilise Public Sector Commission developed templates to documented key processes and actions performed as part of the implementation of the MoG changes.

QAO Recommendation	Agree / Disagree	Department of Health Advice
<ul> <li>improve their documentation of key processes and actions performed as part of their implementation of machinery of government changes</li> <li>as part of the finalisation of the project, assess lessons learned in order to inform management and central agencies of what went well and of areas requiring further improvement.</li> <li>This will assist in the transfer of knowledge and experience in preparation for a future machinery of government change.</li> </ul>		
Ensure all information is kept in approved business applications and systems (all departments) Recommendation #6 We recommend that all departments: • identify the information they hold in physical and electronic form'	Disagree	The scope of this recommendation as written is very broad and will make it difficult for departments to meet this threshold. It is also unclear if the intent of this recommendation is for "information" or "records" which are different concepts, as the remainder of the recommendations are aligned to records.
		The department disagrees with the recommendation as stated. Instead, DoH will apply this recommendation to information that is assessed as high risk to DoH.
<ul> <li>in consultation with Queensland State Archives, develop digitisation policies and prepare a plan to digitise paper records that are required to be retained</li> </ul>	Disagree	Digitisation advice needs to take into consideration the limitation within retention schedules which require many classifications to be retained permanently. A more practical recommendation could be for QSA to review the retention periods for records to ensure that "permanent" and lengthy retentions, for example greater than 30 years, are assigned
		with rigorously defined criteria, due to ongoing costs and risk to obsolete technologies.

QAO Recommendation	Agree / Disagree	Department of Health Advice
		Upon completion of the QSA component of this recommendation, departments to prepare and plan to digitise paper records where criteria is less than years set within the new QSA policy, and for records outside this period, departments to consider on a cost benefit assessment basis if storage of records within an approved business application outweighs the cost of storage and retrieval from off-site storage providers.
<ul> <li>prioritise digitisation of processes so that records are created in approved business applications and systems</li> </ul>	Disagree	Whilst this recommendation is theoretically strong, it is also necessary that approved business systems have been classified with a retention schedule or are developed with the capability to dispose of records at the correct time as required in the retention schedule.         The challenge with the current retention schedules is the level of complexity to address the root cause of managing disposals in a cost-effective way, significant work is required to simplify retention schedules.         An alternative recommendation could be:       Departments to implement processes to assign a retention schedule at the time a new approved business system is commissioned.         Departments to prioritise processes so that records are created, managed and have authorised disposal from the approved business application.         QSA to use the current legislative review to define and better prescribe what constitutes an official record and to simplify the retention schedules and set

QAO Recommendation	Agree / Disagree	Department of Health Advice
		defined criteria lengths for which different types of official records are to be held.
<ul> <li>implement a records disposal program (that reviews records held by departments against an approved retention and disposal schedule) to ensure records are disposed of appropriately</li> </ul>	Agree	No additional comment.
<ul> <li>ensure all records that belong to another government department as a result of previous machinery of government changes are appropriately transferred</li> </ul>	Agree	No additional comment.
Ensure systems are compatible across government and facilitate good recordkeeping (all departments) Recommendation #7 We recommend that departments prioritise implementing whole-of- government systems, or systems that are compatible with those used by other Queensland Government departments and meet minimum records management requirements. This should ensure recordkeeping is in accordance with government policy and allow for the transfer of records following a machinery of government change. Technologies could then be leveraged that provide a greater ability to access records across multiple systems.	Disagree	Whilst this recommendation sounds good in theory, the practicality of implementing whole of government systems is likely to require significant investment in ICT and change management as well as a mechanism to have a lead agency responsible for leading the implementation of the recommendation. The ability for "all departments" to implement this recommendation is very challenging given the way the recommendation has been worded without a lead agency and in an environment where front line delivery of services is likely to have prioritising of funding.