

OFFICIAL







Deliver

Client technical audit update 21 February 2024

Queensland Audit Office
Better public services

Agenda

9:30–9:55 am: Welcome. Managing change and the impact on internal controls Michelle Reardon, Assistant Auditor General

9:55–10:15 am: System implementation from a client's perspective

Sally Trestrail, Finance and Planning Director, Tourism and Events Queensland Steve Allan, Information Technology Services Director, Tourism and Events Queensland

10:15–10:35 am: Technical update

David Hardidge, Senior Director QAO Greg Hall, Principal Accountant, Queensland Treasury

10:35–10:55 am: How QAO is preparing to audit climate reporting

Irshaad Asim, Senior Director QAO

10:55–11:15 am: Insights from our recent reports, and upcoming audits

Darren Brown, Assistant Auditor-General

11:15–11:30 am: Discussion and questions

All presenters

11.30 am-12.00 pm: Refreshments

Strategic review of QAO



'Almost without exception financial audits were **highly valued by almost everybody**. QAO's professionalism, its expertise, the approach of its staff, and the assurance it provided and the approach of QAO were highly regarded.

This was reflected in client surveys and in our interviews. Positive comments came from small and large entities, and from the Audit Service Providers.'

2023 Strategic Review of the Queensland Audit Office



OFFICIAL





Deliver

Respect

Managing change and the impact on internal controls

Michelle Reardon, Assistant Auditor-General





Functions								
transferred								
since 2009								



2009	•	64 functions moved						
2012	•	45 functions moved						
2015	•	8 functions moved						
2017	•	43 functions moved						
2020		23 functions moved						
2023	•	11 functions moved						
2024	•	13 functions moved						



Machinery of government changes



Report 17: 2022–23 Implementing machinery of government changes



www.gao.gld.gov.au/reports-resources/reports-parliament/implementing-machinery-government-changes



Questions to consider







Have you kept good records that support your implementation project?

Do you have a project plan that considers the immediate implementation, but also the long-term actions required?

This year we will ask departments to do an assessment of their financial statement preparation using our updated maturity model.



System changes



Projects are aligned to business outcomes

Where projects are aligned closely to business outcomes, they are more likely to deliver benefits and systems that are fit for purpose.



Senior leaders actively lead and challenge

Successful technology projects are normally led by senior leadership teams who understand the projects and ensure they are well run. They have or bring in the skills and competencies to provide independent challenge.

Internal and external teams work towards the same goals

Technology projects regularly rely on external suppliers. To be successful, project leaders ensure internal and external teams are working towards the same outcomes and goals.

The team has the skills and capacity to match the challenge

Technology projects can be high risk and require capability in advanced technology, change management, project management, and contract management. Time needs to be allocated for teams to take on project responsibilities.



Learnings are identified and acted on

Project teams that identify and act on learnings from their project experience, and from the experiences of others, are more likely to be able to change their course when needed.



Information system weaknesses





We found the following deficiencies at councils:

- Systems users having more access than they need.
- Not having strong controls for passwords to access systems.
- Not having good processes to manage changes to systems.
- Not having complete, up-to-date policies and procedures.
- Having gaps in their cyber and system security controls. Having other deficiencies in information systems.

By the 31 October statutory reporting deadline (refer to Appendix C), we had reported 66 new deficiencies, in addition to the 47 deficiencies that remain unresolved from previous years.



45 councils have at least one deficiency in their information technology systems (2021–22: 48 councils).



significant deficiencies in their information systems. 14 councils have one or more significant deficiencies that have not been resolved for over a year.



councils we surveyed have not provided cyber security training to their staff.



Maturities



Four levels of maturity used across QAO tools



Current models in place





Improving asset management



General gaps found in asset management





www.gao.gld.gov.au/reports-resources/reports-parliament/improving-asset-management-local-government



Better practice asset management



Elements in QAO's asset management maturity model





Asset management in health



Effective asset management is critical

Ageing assets

Reported anticipated

- K Growing anticipated maintenance
- Increasing construction costs

(supplied by the set of the set o

■Jun-20 ■Jun-21 ■Jun-22 ■Jun-23

Growth in anticipated maintenance



Special payments made by state entities

Note: *For the purposes of this graph, state entities includes all departments, government owned corporations, hospital and health services, and large statutory bodies

Source: Queensland Audit Office from published entity financial statements

Special payments



Fraud risk assessment and planning model

- ✓ Helps entities document their assessments of fraud risk, and how they will control, monitor and report on the risks.
- Provides a methodology for assessments, helping to examine business environments and develop overarching risks management plans.
- Assessing risk; controls; treatment; incidents register; review controls; reporting; fraud susceptibility.

Fraud and corruption self-assessment tool

- \checkmark Aimed to help entities identify the areas where they can improve their fraud controls.
- ✓ Reflects new minimum requirements.
- ✓ Prevention; detection and response; dashboard; priority areas.

	Better practice attribute					
	Fraud and Corruption Control System	6	1	0 (Amb	Performance against fraud and corruption control
	Senior Management Commitment	6	1	0 (Amb	attributes
	Integrity Framework	0	1	4 (Red	
	Fraud awareness, education and training	1	2	0 (Red	7%
Prevention (10)	Fraud risk assessment	0	1	2 (Red	13%
	Internal controls & recordkeeping	0	2	0 (Red	
	Responsibility and accountability structure	2	2	2 (Red	
	Internal audit	0	1	0 (Red	
	Workforce screening	0		6 (Red	
	Business associates	2	1	0 (Red	
Detection & Reporting (2)	Fraud detection program	2	2	0 (Red	20%
	Fraud reporting system	0	1	4 (Red	0070
Response and Recovery (4)	Response and recovery plan	4		4 (Gree	
	Investigations	0	2	0 (Red	
	Insurance	1		4 (Red	
	Recovery	0		2	Red	

INHERENT	LIKELIHOOD								
RISK RATING	Rare 1	Unlikely 2	Possible 3	Likely 4	Almost certain 5				
5 Catastrophic	High	High	High	Extreme	Extreme				
4 Major			High	High	Extreme				
3 Moderate				High	High				
2 Minor	Low	Low			High				
1 Insignificant	Low	Low	Low						

www.qao.qld.gov.au/reports-resources/better-practice

Questions and discussion





OFFICIAL



System implementation from a client's perspective

Sally Trestrail and Steve Allan, Tourism and Events Queensland



21 February 2024

NetSuite Implementation: "Making your life easier at work"

Sally Trestrail, Finance & Planning Director Steve Allan, Information Technology Services Director





Tourism and Events Queensland acknowledges the Traditional Owners of Country and recognise their continuing connection to land, waters, culture and community. We pay our respects to Elders past, present and future.

Julens AUSTRALIA

A spirited journey, 2021, Michelle Tyhuis (Meriam Mer, Erub, Torres Strait Islands)

Our Perfect Next (Finance System)



TEQ IT Strategy









Queensland AUSTRALIA

Cybersecurity

Mandatory MFA

iter All

Single Sign On

Passwordless

Essential 8

Audit logs

Device Compliance



Key Success Drivers



Governance

Project Sponsor

Steering Committee

Regular reporting to Board and TEQ Executive Group

Open dialogue with QAO

Pre-implementation Reviews: Technology, Training & Cyber Security

Risk Management & Mitigation

Procurement approach

Input from whole finance team

Perfect is the enemy of Good

Reference tool

Detailed Scoping

Minimised & Streamlined Change Requests Clarity within Partner Contract

Queensland AUSTRALIA

Project Ownership

Project team resourced by TEQ finance team

Backfilled BAU roles Extensive Testing and scripted walkthroughs of end to end Finance team were NetSuite subject matter experts





Challenges

Resourcing

User Access



Any Questions?



Thank you



Consumer: queensland.com Corporate: teq.queensland.com

- teq.queensland.com/subscribe
- facebook.com/visitqueensland
- @queensland #thisisqueensland @teqld
- @Queensland #thisisqueensland
- youtube.com/Queensland







OFFICIAL







Inspire

Deliver

Technical update

David Hardidge, Director, QAO Queensland Treasury







New standards and interpretations

Agenda





AASB – fair value measurement project – public sector

Climate and sustainability reporting

Beyond (later)

Primary financial statements

Conceptual framework (NFPs)

NFP Private Sector Financial Reporting Framework

Public Sector Financial Reporting Framework

Beyond (near)

Fair value measurement – public sector

Climate/ sustainability reporting (private vs. public)

Classification of liabilities as current or non-current

AASB 17 Insurance contracts

2023–24

Accounting policies disclosure

Accounting estimates



Upcoming changes



From 1 January 2023



Disclosure of Accounting Policies and Definition of Accounting Estimates



Disclosure of Accounting Policies: Tier 2 and Other Australian Accounting Standards

New standards 1 Jan 2023





Deferred Tax related to Assets and Liabilities arising from a Single Transaction



International Tax Reform – Pillar Two Model Rules



International Tax Reform – Pillar Two Model Rules: Tier 2 Disclosures



Editorial Corrections to Australian Accounting Standards and Repeal of Superseded and Redundant Standards



Disclosure accounting policies



Current

Disclose – Significant accounting policies



• No definition of 'significant'



- Provide material information to primary users of financial statements
 - Want insight into how an entity has exercised judgement in selecting and applying accounting policies
- Eliminate immaterial accounting policies from their financial statements
 - Avoid 'boilerplate' (standardised information)
 - Duplicates or summarises IFRSs

The solution

Disclose – material accounting policy information

⇒ Can I remove all summaries of accounting standards?

No - still need to explain material transactions etc. but tailor it.

⇒ Am I prevented from including policies of immaterial items?

No - but do not obscure material disclosures.

➡ Example (tailoring) – grants

Existing – summary of accounting standard.

Remove policy – don't have any.

Or (if have some) tailor to how applied and significant judgements.

⇒ Example (immaterial)

Credit risk disclosures (e.g. detailed breakdown of provision for doubtful debts), when credit risk not material.

Disclosure accounting policies



Accounting estimates

The problem

- Accounting estimates prospective
- Accounting policies retrospective
- No definition of accounting estimate
- Confusing definition of 'a change in accounting estimate' + example

The solution

Accounting estimates are monetary amounts in financial statements that are subject to measurement uncertainty.

An entity uses measurement techniques and inputs to develop an accounting estimate.

Measurement techniques include:

- estimation techniques (for example, measuring allowance for expected credit losses under AASB 9)
- valuation techniques (for example, fair value of an asset or liability under AASB 13).


Accounting estimates



Accounting estimates – output of measurement technique (and inputs)
Change in inputs → change in estimate
Change in measurement technique → change in estimate

Correction of errors (retrospective)

Prior period errors are omissions from, and misstatements in, the entity's financial statements for one or more prior periods arising from a failure to use, or misuse of, reliable information that:

(a) was available when financial statements for those periods were authorised for issue; and

(b) could reasonably be expected to have been obtained and taken into account in the preparation and presentation of those financial statements.

Such errors include the effects of mathematical mistakes, mistakes in applying accounting policies, oversights or misinterpretations of facts, and fraud.





Fair value measurement



AASB Fair value measurement project (AASB 2022-10/ED320)

Public sector focus + project advisory panel

Starts financial years beginning on or after 1 Jan 2024

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

- \$ AASB 13 is written primarily from a for-profit private sector focus
 - market approach, income approach based on discounted cash flows
 - cost approach 2 paragraphs
 - common for assets to be bought and sold
 - if cannot identify specific market participants, can work out what potential buyers (hypothetical market participants) would reasonably pay for the asset.

\$ Not-for-profit public sector

- not common for assets to be bought and sold
- sole supplier of goods or services.



Fair value measurement



Scope

 Non-financial assets of not-for-profit public sector entities not held primarily for their ability to generate net cash inflows.

Restrictions on land, for example land under infrastructure

- Roads, schools, hospitals, prisons
- Do you apply discounts to market value for public sector use?

Various issues

- Market participant assumptions (AASB 13 definition fair value)
 - when there are no market participants
- Highest and best use
- Current location
- Application of cost approach
- Greenfield versus brownfield?
- Borrowing costs





Market participant assumptions



If don't have a market, then need to develop a fair value.

• Before AASB changes – market participant, hypothetical market participant assumptions would override entity assumptions.

If both:

- the market selling price of a comparable asset
- some market participant data required to measure the fair value of the asset are not observable

an entity uses its own assumptions as a starting point in developing unobservable inputs.

If reasonably available information (need not undertake exhaustive efforts) indicates that other market participants would use different data, cannot ignore that information.

• Example given – land.



Highest and best use



When was current use not 'highest and best use'?

- New standard what you are currently doing, unless:
 - a) the asset is classified as held for sale or held for distribution to owners in accordance with AASB 5 *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*; or
 - b) it is highly probable that the asset will be used for an alternative purpose to its current use (and meets other conditions similar to those required under AASB 5).
- Under both (a) and (b), highly probable will happen within one year, and appropriate approvals etc. already in place.

Implication:

• Less time considering what a hypothetical market participant would do.



Cost of reference asset



Cost of modern equivalent – adjust for:

Engineered to a higher standard, for example

Superior fire safety features

Greater number of lifts



Physical deterioration

Functional obsolescence

Economic obsolescence



Cost of reference asset





Own assumptions (broadly)

- Existing location
- As you would replace it

Greenfield versus brownfield?

- Restoration costs someone else's assets (e.g. pipes)
- Disruption costs
- Site preparation costs



Borrowing costs

- Public sector not-for-profit expense
- Fair value hypothetical participant include?
- No guidance in amendments



Climate and sustainability reporting

30 June 2022 year ends

- Nov 2021 International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) formed
- Mar 2022 AASB supports voluntary adoption of TCFD
- Mar 2022 ISSB issues exposure draft S1 and S2
- Jun 2022 Queensland Treasury FRRs
 - Agencies not to adopt TCFD, or ISSB EDs

30 June 2023 year ends

- May 2023 ISSB issues Consultation on Agenda Priorities
- Jun 2023 Queensland Treasury FRRs
 - Agencies not to adopt TCFD, or ISSB EDs
- Jun 2023 ISSB issues S1 and S2

30 June 2024 year ends

- Aug 2023 IAASB issues ED ISSA 5000 sustainability auditing standard
- Oct 2023 AASB issues ED equivalents of S1 and S2 'climate-first'
- Oct 2023 IPSASB workplan consultation incl. sustainability
- Jan 2024 Commonwealth Treasury draft legislation



Climate and sustainability reporting



Climate-related risks – financial reporting – Commonwealth

Commonwealth Treasury climate-related financial disclosure: exposure draft legislation

+ AASB ED SR1 (applying ISSB S1 and S2) – 'climate-first'

- Reporting under the Corporations Act (excl. ACNC Act companies that are charities)
- Group 1 GOCs registered under National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Scheme (NGER)
 - all Corporation Act GOCs except 3 smaller ports
- Audit requirement phased transition







New standards and interpretations

Recap





AASB – fair value measurement project – public sector



Climate and sustainability reporting

Queensland Treasury Presentation to QAO Technical Update

Greg Hall 21 February 2024

QUEENSLAND TREASURY



Good news – accounting standards

For the public-sector:

- No new accounting standards of material note for 2023/24
- Most significant standards are on the horizon:
- -> AASB 2022-10: Amendments to AASB 13 FV Measurement (FY25)
- -> AASB 2022-09: AASB 17 Insurance Contracts Public Sector (FY26)
- No major changes are currently anticipated to arise.



Good news – FRRs and NCAPs

2023/24 FRRs

2024/25 NCAPs

No major policy changes
FRR 2C – Editorial amendments
FRR 5D.4 – guidance 'refined'
FRR 6A/6B – 'housekeeping' only

- Refreshed draft anticipated for release in May 2024
- 'Spring-clean'
- Editorial amendments reflecting AASB 2022-10 – but no major changes are anticipated.



Sustainability Reporting: Public sector context

- Climate and sustainability reporting by the public sector involves *different objectives and* reporting considerations to the private sector depending upon whether reporting is completed at an individual agency level, a whole-of-Government level, or even a whole-of-State (i.e. geographical jurisdiction) level.
- A key consideration within the public sector is that climate / sustainability risks (and therefore disclosures) for GGS, PNFC and PFC entities are interrelated with Government as a whole.
- Individual agency disclosures therefore require a whole-of-government context for users to make a fully informed and complete assessment.
- Governments are expected to articulate a co-ordinated whole-of-government approach to managing Environmental, Social and Governance risk factors.



Queensland Sustainability Report

- Consideration has been given to investors, rating agency and other financial stakeholders areas of ESG focus
- The QSR:
 - Outlines the State's approach to managing material sustainability risks and opportunities
 - It summarises existing government commitments and achievements
 - It provides key data-sets analysed by financial market stakeholders
- The report is expected to:
 - Assist in engagements with ratings agencies and investors
 - Position the State to meet future Sustainability Standard disclosures





Sustainability Standards: where to from here?

- Proposed 3 year phased introduction commencing 1 July 2024 (so FY2025) for *Corporations Act 2001 entities*
- AASB Exposure Draft SR1 "Open for Comment" draft ASRS
- **Does not apply** to departments and statutory bodies
- GOC's under Corporations Act 2001 are in-scope.
- Queensland Treasury working with other jurisdictions and engaging with the AASB on public sector specific considerations.
- As of today SR1 is an exposure draft...
- It is <u>not</u> to be early adopted by departments and statutory bodies



Sustainability Standards: where to from here?

- Public Sector Implementation will be WOG led.
- We don't have a commencement date yet for agencies.
- Implementation timeframes will vary depending on agency
- Full extent of public sector application still being determined
- Agencies **will** be required to make sustainability disclosures in the future **but** sustainability reporting will be -
 - ✓ <u>Targeted</u>
 - ✓ *Meaningful* and relevant to the entity
 - ✓ *Focused* on material agency specific risks and opportunities
 - ✓ <u>Connected</u> with the Queensland Sustainability Report



Sustainability Standards: where to from here?

- Advancing whole of state reporting allows agencies to:
 - provide climate reporting in context your story is part of the state's story
 - reduce agency requirements to deal with "everything" and
 - focus on agency specific risks and management
- Consultation with GOC's:
 - Underway via Queensland Treasury's GOC Governance and Performance team
- Carbon Offset Policy:
 - Draft released for consultation and comment
 - Most effective way of managing abatement needs, reputational risk and compliance requirements from offset use across Government



Our message today...

- Agencies will be required to make targeted and focused sustainability disclosures in the future – don't panic and don't be overwhelmed!
- 2024 will see more certainty as ASRS's are finalised
- Consultation with AASB on public sector specific issues continues
- Queensland Treasury will provide a policies/guidelines as the sustainability reporting framework becomes more certain and policies are finalised for public sector application.



Our message today...

- Emissions calculation tools and Sustainability Reporting Requirements are under development.
- Queensland Treasury is actively working in collaboration with key agencies and other jurisdictions on the public sector rollout and practical application issues encountered
- Central agencies are developing policy responses for climate change.
- Departments and Statutory Bodies are not to produce sustainability reports or early adopt sustainability standards/proposals.



What can I do in the meantime...

- Take the opportunity <u>now</u> to undertake and/or update climate-risk assessments and embed in your governance reporting process
- Begin to evaluate where scope 1 and scope 2 emissions occur for your agency across your service delivery areas.
- Build general knowledge through the numerous training resources available – but remember most are private-sector focused and public sector application is still to be finalised. Remember your story is part of the State's story...
- Don't try and fix issues/problems on your own. Talk to us...we know about the issues and solutions are being worked on.



Disclaimer:

This presentation has been prepared for presentation at the QAO Technical Update (February 2024).

This presentation does not constitute formal Queensland Government or Queensland Treasury policy.

References to positions of the Australian Accounting Standards Board's draft proposed sustainability standards and likely future application may change subsequent to the delivery of this presentation. Agencies should monitor future communication from Queensland Treasury on the application of sustainability standards in Australia to the Queensland public sector.

The Queensland Sustainability Report can be accessed in full via Queensland Treasury's website at: https://www.treasury.qld.gov.au/programs-and-policies/esg/

Questions? Need accounting advice or assistance? Contact us at fmhelpdesk@treasury.qld.gov.au







OFFICIAL





Respect

Inspire

Deliver

How QAO is preparing to audit climate reporting

Irshaad Asim, Senior Director QAO





Climate and sustainability reporting



What are the assurance requirements?

- What are we auditing?
 - Climate disclosures
- Type of audit report
 - Limited assurance 1 July 2024-30 June 2030
 - Reasonable assurance 1 July 2030



- Lower level of assurance
- Interviews
- Analytical reviews
- Recalculation of metrics



- Higher level of assurance
- Vouching to supporting documentation
- Greater understanding of process and controls





- We will engage specialists and contract auditors to supplement our team
- We will focus on entities for whom reporting will become mandatory
- Early and voluntary reporters will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Departments and statutory bodies do not need to prepare climate reports, but they do need to refer to the FRRs and talk to Queensland Treasury if they have any questions or concerns.



If considering voluntary reporting, you need to plan and prepare well. Don't underestimate the work required, or it will be more effort in the long run.

For those considering voluntary reporting, please contact your QAO Engagement Leader and we will work with you individually.



Climate and sustainability reporting



How is QAO preparing for the audit?

Identifying affected clients

Working within our legislative mandate

Forming a working group

Identifying training needs

Developing audit methodology support

T. T. HUHP

Monitoring issues in exposure drafts

Collaborating with other audit offices



Climate and sustainability reporting



QAO forum for energy, utilities and ports 2024



We will share learnings and advice from our work and discuss topical issues.

Comprises a panel and discussion-based approach.

We can share our learnings with other entities following our event if you are interested – do not hesitate to reach out to your engagement leader.



Roles and Sources of **Boundaries** responsibilities emissions Data Systems and **Materiality** governance processes **Consistency in Criteria and Scenario** financials analysis metrics and SR

Considerations for sustainability reports



Climate and sustainability reporting



Next steps

We will communicate our approach for each client in our 2024–25 audit plans.

- → We will be starting our discussions with clients during our audit planning for FY25, focusing on:
 - ✓ sources of emissions
 - ✓ reviewing internal audit reports
 - ✓ processes
 - ✓ data collection
 - \checkmark information systems used.

Questions and discussion





OFFICIAL



Respect

Inspire

Deliver

Insights from our recent reports, and our upcoming audits

Darren Brown, Assistant Auditor-General





In 2023:



Results



Status of



Some entities remain uncertain about their progress because they do not have effective systems to track it.

This can make it difficult for audit committees to effectively hold management to account.

www.qao.qld.gov.au/reports-resources/status-auditor-generals-recommendations Interactive data dashboard – search by entity, report, year, parliamentary committee

Recommendations by category and status





Some headline insights from recent reports



Performance monitoring and reporting

- Culture of accountability and transparency
- Clear objectives and goals
- Quality data
- Multi-agency coordination shared and clear understanding of outcomes, roles, priorities.

Contract management

- Effective planning includes clearly articulating service need
- Registers help budget for costs, track obligations, reduce variations
- Appropriate systems for training, central documentation, and managing conflicts of interest.

Confidentiality provisions

- Only included where there is strong justification for protecting sensitive information
- Balanced against the need for open and transparent government
- A contract cannot override an accounting standard.

Reports on the results of our financial audits

- Continuing need for stronger information security practices
- Controls to mitigate cyber security risk exposure
- Risk practices and maturity assessments
- Procurement conflicts of interest
- Regular and timely assessment of asset condition.



Recent Report Learnings



- → *Gambling harm*: Challenges in delivering the harm minimisation plan due to the scale of gambling harm across the community.
- \rightarrow Health 2023: Demand for health services in Queensland continues to increase.
- → *Deploying Police Resources*: Increasing and changing demand for its services.
- → Operating in an environment of:
 - \rightarrow shifting community expectations
 - → technological changes
 - \rightarrow increasing and aging population
 - → economic uncertainty
 - \rightarrow tight labour market, difficulty recruiting.

Sound familiar?



Recent Report Learnings



- → Build evidence-based understanding of demand past, present and future
- → Know your purpose –your value proposition
- \rightarrow Understand your key challenges and vulnerabilities root causes
- → Regularly assess and really understand what you are currently doing and why. How does it align with:
 - \rightarrow Your purpose
 - → Current and future demand pressures
- Align your strategies, plans and budgets accordingly prioritise, back to core basics
 - \rightarrow can you shed activities, services functions that don't align?
- \rightarrow Develop a robust models for monitoring and forecasting demand across the services
- \rightarrow Monitor performance inform decision making and be agile

Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness



Recent Report Learnings



- \rightarrow shifting community expectations
- \rightarrow technological changes
- \rightarrow increasing and aging population
- \rightarrow economic uncertainty
- \rightarrow tight labour market, difficulty recruiting.

 \bigcirc

Technology risk and opportunities



Sustainable communities and environment

Governance of government



Healthy and safe Queenslanders

Infrastructure investment



Economic risk and recovery



Forward work plan



- \rightarrow Focuses audits where we can most effectively support entities and provide insights.
- \rightarrow Provides transparency of the upcoming work program and advance notice to entities.
- → Entails key component of consultation with entities, ministers, and parliamentary committees.
- → In prioritising topics, we consider where there have been particular challenges, strategic risk, and where we think there is the most to learn.

Focus areas guide all our audit activity

-] Technology risk and opportunities
- Sustainable communities and environment
- ✓ Governance of government

- Healthy and safe Queenslanders
- Infrastructure investment
 - Economic risk and recovery

On occasion, new topics may be introduced in response to new information. Timing for some topics may also shift. We reflect these changes on our website.

QAO's blog and better practice guides reflect our areas of audit focus.

www.qao.qld.gov.au/audit-program

	Managing Queensland's debt and investments 2023	Early 24	Management of the Queensland Government's debt and investments, including main transactions and investment performance.
	State entities 2023	Early 24	Audit results for state entities, government financial position, key transactions and events. Commences reporting on the extent to which government uses consultants and contractors. Update on government's implementation of Coaldrake recommendations.
8-	Responding to and recovering from cyber attacks	Early–mid 24	Insights and lessons learned on entities' preparedness to respond to and recover from cyber attacks.
	Diverting young offenders from crime	Early–mid 24	Assesses whether youth justice strategies and programs are effective in reducing crime by serious repeat offenders and improving community safety.
S	Queensland's regions 2023	Early–mid 24	Analyses data on state and local government service delivery and support for Queensland's regions, including regional growth and sustainability measures.
2	Protecting students from bullying	Early–mid 24	Examines whether the Department of Education's strategies are effective in supporting the protection of students from bullying.
-	Education 2023	Mid 24	Audit results for education entities, and their financial performance and position. Insights into recovery from COVID-19, and in meeting the state's education requirements.
~	Managing Queensland's regional water quality	Mid 24	Effectiveness of state and local government entities in ensuring access to safe water.
	Effectiveness of audit committees in local governments	Mid 24	Insights into the effectiveness of audit committees in Queensland's local governments.
	Examining the state budget framework	Mid 24	Considers how the framework for preparing the state budget supports government's identified fiscal principles and the objectives and measures identified in economic plans.
	Follow-on audit: Delivering forensic services	Mid 24	Assesses whether the entities have implemented the recommendations relating to forensic medical examination services, and effectiveness in meeting the needs of victims.

Remaining 2023–24 reports to parliament



Questions and discussion





Tell us what you want to hear about!

Q&A with today's presenters

We appreciate your feedback

A quick survey on today's event is with you now: <u>www.surveymonkey.com/r/QAOTechnicalUpdate2024</u>







Better public services

The Queensland Government supports and encourages the dissemination of its information. The copyright in this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) 4.0 International licence.

To view a copy of this licence, visit <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

In essence, you are free to copy, communicate and adapt this presentation, as long as you attribute the work to the State of Queensland (Queensland Audit Office) Technical audit update – 21 February 2024.



© The State of Queensland (Queensland Audit Office) 2024.

Copyright