

QAO 2016 Technical Audit Update

25 February 2016



Welcome

Karen Johnson Assistant Auditor-General

Agenda







Simplification of Financial reporting – reflection on 2015 and focus for 2016

Karen Johnson Assistant Auditor-General



Achieving simplification



Effective internal systems and governance structures to enable accurate month end/year end accounting.



Removal of the irrelevant



Only material disclosure



Tailoring

Results for 2015



Successful removal of these sort of notes!







~)

A significant improvement



Average reduction in number of pages



Average reduction in number of notes



Average reduction in size of the accounting policy note

What does material mean?









Considered material:





compliance



Contingencies and uncertainties



Information and risks key to operations (or future performance)





1. Plain language



Remove accounting jargon

Use short sections and sentences

Easy to read design features

Focus for 2016



2. Inform the reader

ASA 701– Communicating Key Audit Matters In The Independent Audit Report

Meaningful analysis of the balance or variance

Meaningful commentary on unusual transactions and cashflows



Explain significant judgements and complex calculations



Explain valuation approaches and assumptions

Focus for 2016



3. Fully communicate financial risks



Going concern/financial sustainability

Impairment

Once-off complex transactions e.g. Large infrastructure projects or PPPs



Financial reporting and auditing changes

Rachel Vagg Director, Specialist Audit Services Greg Hall Senior Accountant, Whole-of-Government Reporting and Accounting

Changes for 2015-16 and beyond







AASB124

Apply to public sector Expanded KMP

Who are your related parties?





Exemptions for government







AASB16

- All leases will be on balance sheet
- Below market leases?





On the horizon





Financial reporting projects





Financial Reporting Update 2015-16



> 2015-16 FRR Update – a more radical review...

- New FRR framework and drivers for change
- New and removed Policy
- Sunshine/Future Bay Model Financial Statements.
- > 2015-16 Accounting Standards early adopted.
- > What's on the horizon for the year ahead.

- Minimum Reporting Requirements (MRRs)
 - Have authority under the *FPMS* :
 - Section 42(1) for Departments; and
 - Section 43(1) for Statutory Bodies.
- MRRs are clearly identified in bold black print and now shaded in the draft 2015-16 update.

NEW FINANCIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENT FRAMEWORK

DRIVERS FOR CHANGE



Queensland Government

- > Two stage release given significant structural change.
- Current structure had become difficult to navigate.
- MRRs + guidance (Part B) + extra guidance in APGs (Part C). Topics located in multiple places.
- Solution integration of all policy and guidance.

- Confusion over role of APGs guidance often assumed to be policy and sometimes reflected positions of Treasury that were not negotiable.
- Solution elevate selected guidance to MRRs.
- MRR disclosure requirements over and above Accounting Standards.
- Solution remove unnecessary disclosures.

- Repetition of accounting standard and legislative requirements.
- Solution remove unnecessary repetition.
- Improved navigational functionality the outcome is a more simplified FRR package that maintains the overall objectives of the FRRs.
- Finalisation of FRRs target is late March 2016.

NEW AND REMOVED MRR POLICY FOR 2015-16



- > The following items are now elevated to **POLICY**:
- Selected APG 9 criteria on transactions qualifying as equity transfers.
- Selected APG 20 principles on distinguishing grants from procurement.
- Selected APG 8 principles on the controlled vs administered distinction including default position.

- > The following policies/disclosures are removed:
- Other Expenditure Commitments only need disclose commitments required by Accounting Standards.
- Temporarily idle physical assets, assets at their residual value or with zero WDV that are still in use.
- Statement of Changes in Equity for Budgetary Reporting disclosure.

- moG Transfers receiving department disclosure of full year income and expenditure.
- Disclosures for grant revenue exceeding AASB 1004 requirements.
- Duplication of disclosure requirements that are already required by Accounting Standards – agencies must still comply with Accounting Standards.

EARLY ADOPTION OF ACCOUNTING STANDARDS FOR

2015-16 FINANCIAL YEAR AND 2016 CALENDAR YEAR



Early Adopted Accounting Standards for 2015-16

- **AASB 2015–2** amends AASB 101
- Allows flexibility in note ordering and location of significant accounting policies. Emphasis on material disclosure only.
- Early adoption facilitates continued simplification by agencies.
- Model financial statements illustrate how this might be applied in practice (but are not the only ways).

- **AASB 2015-7** amends AASB 13
- Exemption covers property plant and equipment only categorised within 'Level 3' of the fair value hierarchy.
- Disclosure is no longer required for the significant unobservable inputs used to determine fair value and the sensitivity analysis of changes in those unobservable inputs.



RELEASE OF SUNSHINE DEPARTMENT AND FUTURE BAY REGIONAL HEALTH FOUNDATION MODEL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS



Governme

- Simplification initiatives began in 2014-15.
- ➤ 2015-16 update is about demonstrating flexibility that agencies have to continue simplification.
- Consider co-locating accounting policies within note disclosures.
- Layout and structuring of the notes as illustrated is not mandatory for agencies to follow – tailor to suit your individual circumstances.

- Layout changes need not be major but layout adopted should be clear and contain an index.
- Any restructuring of the notes layout should have a clear objective and result in enhanced disclosure.
- Possible approaches 'blank canvas' vs incremental enhancements over several reporting periods.
- Requires careful planning and consultation with audit committee, management and external audit.
- 'Plain Language' is welcomed decision rests with agencies to what extent it is adopted.
- Some language modernisation reflected in Sunshine model statements – but Treasury is limited in how much we can illustrate – ultimately depends upon subject matter. Agency discretion comes into play.
- Wording must accurately convey message and not be ambiguous or open to multiple interpretations.

- Differing views on what constitutes plain English phrasing need to be considered and wording agreed.
- Engagement with audit committee, management and external audit necessary depending on extent of use.
- Feedback window on Sunshine and Future Bay Statements closes on 9 March 2016.

QUEENSLAND TREASURY

WHAT'S ON THE HORIZON...



Government

- Treasury will standardise, & agree with QAO, as much as possible regarding related party information collection process.
- Agencies must understand AASB 124.
- Treasury will issue revised suggested wording for disclosure about future impact of AASB 124.

KMP

- ➢ For 2015-16 − no change.
- 2016-17 & beyond Treasury will advise agencies later in 2016 – including detail of disclosures.
- Comparative disclosures still required.

Related Party Disclosures

- Treasury will develop self-declaration form, including guidance.
- Agencies to co-ordinate collection process for internal KMP's declarations.
- Treasury seeking legal advice to address concerns.
- Agencies should start informing their KMP of AASB 124 requirements.

Contact with Accounting Policy & Advice Team



Financial Management Help Desk: fmhelpdesk@treasury.qld.gov.au



To be added to our email distribution list:

fmbregistrations@treasury.qld.gov.au





Long form Audit

Rachel Vagg Director, Specialist Audit Services



New order of information

Other matters paragraph

Key audit matters

- Areas that require significant audit attention
- Higher risk of misstatement
- Significant management judgement





Implementation strategy – state







Q&A



Asset valuation

David Adams Director, Financial Audit Services

Asset metrics & why we care







High materiality

Significant judgements (subjective inputs and complex)

Sustainability and asset management issues







What do we need to get better at:



Componentisation/segmentation.



Unit Rates (new construction rates vs reconstruction rates).



Measuring service potential consumed.



Useful life.



Residual value.

Cash flow forecasting.





Use of experts



Not well understood or challenged.



Internal experts: Not always used.



External experts: Scope of work is not clearly defined.



Build consensus:

Early engagement with all stakeholders.



Use of experts



Gold Coast Sand Bypass System



Southport Broadwater & Channels



Takeaway messages



Need to know

- AASB no change
- Disclosure relief for level 3.
- More simplification required.
- Communicate to Audit Committee.
- Engage early with Audit.
- Consider internal experts.
- Don't accept expert advice without challenge.
- Audit Committees recommend valuation approach & outcome.
- Inputs, estimates and assumption must be understood by all.
- Where judgement exists, risk of material misstatement lives.

- Plan early.
- Agree expectations.
- Involve the Audit Committee early.
- Seek early feedback from Audit.
- Earlier revaluations.
- Resolve differences.
- Monitor & challenge experts.
- Educate stakeholders on key judgements, assumptions & estimates.
- Valuation workbook.
- Trial financial statement disclosures early.



Q&A





Wider learnings from reports to Parliament

Improving public services

Andrew Greaves Auditor-General



Key issues

Grant management



	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$	70
	_	
		П
l		

Processes – lack transparency and accountability.

Boards and departments cannot demonstrate that:

- applicants are dealt with equitably
- evaluation criteria is applied consistently
- decisions have maximised potential community benefit.



Administration of grants – inefficient and monitoring of payments is weak.

Community Benefit Funds: Grant management Report 12:2012-13, May 2013 and Royalties for the Regions Report 4: 2015–16, December 2015



Grant management



Some non-state schools are getting more recurrent state grants than they are entitled to – others receive less.

Board has not established a robust framework.



DETE has not sought assurance from the Board in regards to accuracy of student numbers.

Oversight of recurrent grants to non-state schools Report 12:2014-15, March 2015









Decision making documentation does not demonstrate economy in procurement – weakens accountability.

Lack of transparency weakened program administration.





Leaves process open to acusations of favoritism.

Procurement of youth boot camps Report 13:2014-15, April 2015









The decision on the capacity of the Gold Coast Desalination Plant did not benefit from the rigorous cost-benefit analysis.

For the scheme's business case, as compared to costs, less rigour was applied to estimating potential benefits and these benefits were overstated.

Consideration of the water supply needed and the cost of this supply were not balanced against a realistic assessment of benefits.

> Maintenance of water infrastructure assets Report 14:2012-13, June 2013







-	6	-
-	. (2)	_
		-
_		_

Poor health service and investment planning has cost the state more than expected.

The decision to build before a preliminary evaluation of options, and before business cases, put the planning process out of step with the Project Assurance Framework.





QH took the position that the announcements set the scope and expectations for each project. Other options were not explored.

Hospital infrastructure projects Report 2:2014-15, October 2014

	QA	(010)	
trans have	arrest		
Report & Para	_		
	101700 - 14 2 2 2 - 14		
	1.1.1.1.1		

Policy advice





The quality of the business cases varied significantly, particularly in:

- the rigor of analysis, absent in some cases
 the level of detail provided to support

decision-making.

In the case of the 2008 amendments, QH was unable to provide financial or workforce analysis or other documentation to support them.





QH has failed to analyse adequately the financial implications to quantify success, or to schedule and complete reviews.

> *Right of private practice* Report 1:2013-14, July 2013



Policy advice





The trial was not well planned, implemented or evaluated:

- planning was rushed
- no benchmarks to gauge success
- unintended consequence of restricting the ability of the police service to use its resources where and when needed.

Unrealistic time frames impacted set up and design – caused problems throughout.



Drink safe precincts trial Report 13:2012-13, May 2013





Challenges



Poor data and inadequate systems continue to hinder EHPs planning and risk assessments.

Monitoring and enforcement efforts cannot be targeted to where they are needed most.





Exacerbated by lack of coordination and sharing of information across agencies.

Environmental regulation of resources and waste industries Report 15:2013-14, April 2014





Teacher data is fragmented across four systems.

Lack of integration creates inefficiencies sourcing appropriately qualified teachers.





Increases risk of teachers being placed in schools without the capabilities and competencies required.

Supply of specialist subject teachers Report 2:2013-14, October 2013



Data integration and availability



Little alignment between scheme oversight objectives and the management information to support effective decision-making.

Insufficient information is available to monitor and discharge obligations, or for proactive governance.



The desire for more in-depth information...has not been supported with well-targeted and sufficient investment in integrated information technology infrastructure.





...departments did not have complete records of all their contracts. Or centralised records of contract management activities.

Their systems were inadequate and did not:

- integrate with their financial systems

- provide automated alerts to enable early planning for contract expiry

- support and record details about the entire contract life-cycle.



Contract management: renewal and transition Report 10:2013-14, December 2013



Full and frank advice



- They [Ministers] make decisions without all the information and without fully understanding the consequences
- The responsibility for this unfortunate situation lies with chief executives
- What is often needed is a more sophisticated analysis of priorities and programs
- Departmental advice should be better structured and better considered than anything that could be produced in a minister's office

Don Russell, former Industry department Secretary ANU, March 2014



"The bureaucracy has been cowed both by the prospect of being sacked and by a reward system which punishes taking risks"

Ken Henry

[he] laments the consequences of "blurring of boundaries" between public servants and political advisors, as well as the "relentless focus on message over substance" in government.

Martin Parkinson

Political amnesia: How We Forgot How to Govern Laura Tingle, AFR



- Good governance depends on departments providing frank and impartial advice to ministers
 - Public servants should be prepared to back their advice with a clear recommendation about which
 of several potential courses of action would be in the best interests of the state
 - The public service must give best advice and firm recommendations before decisions are made
- But, [they] "avoid providing advice or recommendations simply because they believe the government of the day does not want to hear them".

Editorial, The Age

Q&A





Any questions please contact the Queensland Audit Office: Phone: (07) 3149 6000 Email: <u>qao@qao.qld.gov.au</u>

Follow us on **Linked in** "Queensland Audit Office (QAO)" <u>www.qao.qld.gov.au</u>